

Unoptimized Code Generation

Big Picture

- Starting point AST
- Intermediate point CFG (control flow graph)
- Ending point Generated Assembly Code
- Emphasis on UNOPTIMIZED
- Do simplest possible thing for now
- Will treat optimizations separately

Control Flow Graph

- Nodes Represent Computation
	- Each Node is a Basic Block
	- Basic Block is a Sequence of Instructions with
		- No Branches Out Of Middle of Basic Block
		- No Branches Into Middle of Basic Block
		- Basic Blocks should be maximal
	- Execution of basic block starts with first instruction
	- Includes all instructions in basic block
- Edges Represent Control Flow

Basic Block Construction

- Start with instruction control-flow graph
- Visit all edges in graph
- Merge adjacent nodes if
	- Only one edge from first node
	- Only one edge into second node

$$
\begin{array}{|c|c|}\n\hline s = 0; \\
\hline\n\downarrow & \\
\hline\na = 4; \\
\hline\n\end{array}\n\qquad\n\begin{array}{|c|c|}\n\hline\nS = 0; \\
\hline\na = 4; \\
\hline\n\end{array}
$$

Program Points, Split and Join Points

- One program point before and after each statement in program
- Split point has multiple successors conditional branch statements only split points
- Merge point has multiple predecessors
- **Each basic block**
	- Either starts with a merge point or its predecessor ends with a split point
	- Either ends with a split point or its successor starts with a merge point

Motivation For Short-Circuit Conditionals

Following program searches array for 0 element

int $i = 0$; while $(i < n \&\& a[i] := 0)$ { $i = i + 1;$ }

If $i < n$ is false, should you evaluate a[i] $!= 0$?

Short-Circuit Conditionals

- In program, conditionals have a condition written as a boolean expression $((i < n) \&& (v[i] != 0)) || i > k)$
- Semantics say should execute only as much as required to determine condition
	- Evaluate (v[i] $!=$ 0) only if (i < n) is true
	- Evaluate $i > k$ only if $((i < n) \& \& (v[i] != 0))$ is false
- Use control-flow graph to represent this shortcircuit evaluation

More Short-Circuit Conditionals

Routines for Destructuring Program Representation

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form

shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates short-circuit form of conditional represented by c if c is true, control flows to t node if c is false, control flows to f node returns b - b is begin node for condition evaluation

new kind of node - nop node

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form seq x y

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form seq x y

1: (b_x,e_x) = destruct(x);

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form seq x y

1: (b_x,e_x) = destruct(x); 2: (b_y,e_y) = destruct(y);

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form seq x y

1: (b_x,e_x) = destruct(x); 2: (b_y,e_y) = destruct(y); 3: $next(e_x) = b_y$; seq x y $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{x}}$ b_y \mathbf{e}_{y}

destruct(n)

seq

x y

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form seq x y

 $b_y -$

 $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{y}}$

1: (b_x,e_x) = destruct(x); 2: (b_y,e_y) = destruct(y);

3: next(e_x) = b_y ; 4: return (b_x e_y);

 $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{x}}$

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y

1: (b_x,e_x) = destruct(x);

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y

1: $(b_x,e_x) =$ destruct(x); 2: $(b_y,e_y) =$ destruct(y);

destruct(n)

- generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y
	- 1: (b_x,e_x) = destruct(x); 2: (b_y,e_y) = destruct(y);

 $3: e = new nop;$

Destructuring If Nodes

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y

1: $(b_x,e_x) =$ destruct(x); 2: $(b_y,e_y) =$ destruct(y);

3: e = new nop; 4: $next(e_x) = e$; 5: $next(e_y) = e$;

Destructuring If Nodes

destruct(n)

- generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y
	- 1: (b_x,e_x) = destruct(x); 2: (b_y,e_y) = destruct(y); 3: e = new nop; 4: $next(e_x) = e$; 5: $next(e_y) = e$; 6: b_c = shortcircuit(c, b_x , b_y);

Destructuring If Nodes

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y

1: $(b_x,e_x) =$ destruct(x); 2: $(b_y,e_y) =$ destruct(y); 3: e = new nop; 4: $next(e_x) = e$; 5: $next(e_y) = e$; 6: $\overline{b_c}$ = shortcircuit(c, $\overline{b_x}$, $\overline{b_y}$); 7: return ($\overline{b_c}$ e);

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form while c x

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form while c x

1: $e = new pop;$

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form while c x

1: $e = new nop$; 2: $(b_x,e_x) = 0$ destruct(x);

e

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form while c x

1: $e = new pop$; 2: $(b_x,e_x) = destruct(x)$;

3: b_c = shortcircuit(c, b_x , e);

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form while c x

1: $e = new pop$; 2: $(b_x,e_x) = destruct(x)$;

3: b_c = shortcircuit(c, b_x , e); 4: next(e_x) = b_c ;

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form while c x

1: $e = new pop$; 2: $(b_x,e_x) = destruct(x)$;

3: b_c = shortcircuit(c, b_x , e); 4: next(e_x) = b_c; 5: return (b_c e);

shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $c_1 \&& c_2$

shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $c_1 \&& c_2$

1: b_2 = shortcircuit(c₂, t, f);

shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form c_1 && c_2

1: b_2 = shortcircuit(c₂, t, f); 2: b_1 = shortcircuit(c₁, b₂, f);

shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $c_1 \& \& c_2$

1: b_2 = shortcircuit(c₂, t, f); 2: b_1 = shortcircuit(c₁, b₂, f); $3:$ return $(b₁)$; $\frac{1}{2}$

shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $c_1 || c_2$

shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $c_1 || c_2$

1: b_2 = shortcircuit(c₂, t, f);

shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $c_1 || c_2$

1: b_2 = shortcircuit(c₂, t, f); 2: b_1 = shortcircuit(c₁, t, b₂);

shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $c_1 || c_2$

1: b_2 = shortcircuit(c₂, t, f); 2: b_1 = shortcircuit(c₁, t, b₂); $3:$ return $(b₁)$;

shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form ! c_1

1: $b = shortcircuit(c₁, f, t); return(b);$

Computed Conditions

shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $e_1 < e_2$

1: $b = new cbr(e₁ < e₂, t, f); 2$: return (b);

Eliminating Nops Via Peephole Optimization

Linearizing CFG to Assembler

- Generate labels for edge targets at branches
	- Labels will correspond to branch targets
	- Can use code generation patterns for this
- Emit code for procedure entry
- Emit code for basic blocks
	- Emit code for statements/conditional expressions
	- Appropriately linearized
	- Jump/conditional jumps link basic blocks together
- Emit code for procedure exit

Overview of a modern ISA

- Memory
- Registers
- ALU
- Control

Overview of Computation

- Loads data from memory into registers
- Computes on registers
- Stores new data back into memory
- Flow of control determines what happens
- Role of compiler:
	- Orchestrate register usage
	- Generate low-level code for interfacing with machine

Typical Memory Layout

Concept of An Object File

- The object file has:
	- Multiple Segments
	- Symbol Information
	- Relocation Information
- Segments
	- Global Offset Table
	- Procedure Linkage Table
	- Text (code)
	- Data
	- Read Only Data
- To run program, OS reads object file, builds executable process in memory, runs process
- We will use assembler to generate object files

Basic Compilation Tasks

- Allocate space for global variables (in data segment)
- For each procedure
	- Allocate space for parameters and locals (on stack)
	- Generate code for procedure
		- Generate procedure entry prolog
		- Generate code for procedure body
		- Generate procedure exit epilog


```
int values[20]; 
int sum(int n) \{ int i, t, temp1, temp2, temp3, temp4; 
 i = 0;t = 0;temp1 = n;
 temp2 = 1;
 i = temp2;temp2 = 0;
 t = temp2;temp3 = i;
 temp4 = temp1;while (temp3 \leq temp4) {
   temp3 = i;
   temp4 = 20;
   if (temp3 \leq temp4) {
    temp3 = t;
    temp4 = i;
    temp4 = values[temp4];temp2 = temp3 + temp4;t = temp2;temp3 = i;
   temp4 = 1;
   temp2 = temp3 + temp4;i = temp2;temp2 = t; return temp2; 
}
```
.comm values,160,8 sum: //allocate for t, i, temp1, temp2, temp3, temp4 enter \$48, \$0 movq %rdi, -24(%rbp) //t=0 movq \$0, -8(%rbp) //i=0 movq \$0, -16(%rbp) //i = temp2 = 1 movq \$1, -32(%rbp) mov -32(%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -16(%rbp) //t = temp2 = 0 movq \$0, -32(%rbp) //set temp2 to 0 mov -32(%rbp), %rax //store temp2 in %rax movq %rax, -8(%rbp) //load %rax to t .BasicBlock2: //i < n //temp3 = i mov -16(%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -40(%rbp) //temp4 = temp1 mov -24(%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -48(%rbp) //temp3 < temp4 mov -48(%rbp), %rax cmp %rax, -40(%rbp) jge .BasicBlock4 .BasicBlock3: movq \$1, -32(%rbp) //temp2 = true jmp .BasicBlock5 //jump to condition .BasicBlock4: movq \$0, -32(%rbp) //temp2 = false .BasicBlock5: cmp \$1, -32(%rbp) //if temp2 is true continue, false jump to return jne .BasicBlock12 .BasicBlock6: //i < 20 //temp3 = i mov -16(%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -40(%rbp) //temp4 = 20 movq \$20, -48(%rbp) //temp3 < temp4 mov -48(%rbp), %rax cmp %rax, -40(%rbp) jge .BasicBlock8 .BasicBlock7: movq \$1, -32(%rbp) //temp2 = true jmp .BasicBlock9 //jump to condition .BasicBlock8: movq \$0, -32(%rbp) //temp2 = false .BasicBlock9: cmp \$1, -32(%rbp) //if temp2 is true fo in block, false skip jne .BasicBlock11 .BasicBlock10: //temp3 = t mov -8(%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -40(%rbp) //temp4 = i mov -16(%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -48(%rbp) cmp \$0, -48(%rbp) //check if array index temp4 < 0 jl .boundsbad0 mov -48(%rbp), %rax cmp \$20, %rax //check if array index temp4 >= 20 jge .boundsbad0 jmp .boundsgood0 //perform array access .boundsbad0: mov -48(%rbp), %rdx mov \$8, %rcx call .boundserror .boundsgood0: //t = t + values[i] = temp3 + values[temp4] //array access mov -48(%rbp), %r10 mov values(, %r10, 8), %rax movq %rax, -48(%rbp) //temp2 = temp3 + temp4 mov -40(%rbp), %rax add -48(%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -32(%rbp) //t = temp2 mov -32(%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -8(%rbp) .BasicBlock11: //i = i + 1 //temp3 = i mov -16(%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -40(%rbp) //temp4 = 1 movq \$1, -48(%rbp) //temp2 = temp3 + temp4 mov -40(%rbp), %rax add -48(%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -32(%rbp) //i = temp2 mov -32(%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -16(%rbp) jmp .BasicBlock2 //jump to beginning of while loop .BasicBlock12: //return t //temp2 = t mov -8(%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -32(%rbp) //return temp2 mov -32(%rbp), %rax leave ret

.comm values,160,8

sum: //allocate for t, i, temp1, temp2, temp3, temp4 enter \$48, \$0 movq $\%$ rdi, -24($\%$ rbp)

 $//t=0$ movq \$0, -8(%rbp)

 $//i=0$ movq \$0, -16(%rbp)

 $1/2 = temp2 = 1$ movq \$1, -32(%rbp) mov -32(%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -16(%rbp)

 $1/t = temp2 = 0$ movq $$0, -32$ (%rbp) //set temp2 to 0 mov -32(%rbp), %rax //store temp2 in %rax movq %rax, -8(%rbp) //load %rax to t

.BasicBlock2: $//i < n$

> $//temp3 = i$ mov -16 (%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -40(%rbp)

 $//temp4 = temp1$ mov -24 (%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -48(%rbp)

 //temp3 < temp4 mov -48(%rbp), %rax cmp $\%$ rax, -40(%rbp) jge .BasicBlock4

.BasicBlock3: movq $$1, -32$ (%rbp) //temp2 = true jmp .BasicBlock5 //jump to condition

.BasicBlock4: movq $$0, -32$ (%rbp) //temp2 = false

.BasicBlock5: cmp $$1, -32$ (%rbp) //if temp2 is true continue, false jump to return jne .BasicBlock12

.BasicBlock6: $1/1 < 20$

> $//temp3 = i$ mov -16(%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -40(%rbp)

 $//temp4 = 20$ movq \$20, -48(%rbp)

 $//temp3 < temp4$ mov -48(%rbp), %rax cmp $\%$ rax, -40(%rbp) ige BasicBlock8

.BasicBlock7: movq $$1, -32$ (%rbp) //temp2 = true jmp .BasicBlock9 //jump to condition

.BasicBlock8: movq $$0, -32$ (%rbp) //temp2 = false .BasicBlock9: cmp \$1, -32(%rbp) //if temp2 is true fo in block, false skip jne .BasicBlock11

.BasicBlock10: $//temp3 = t$ mov -8(%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -40(%rbp)

 $//$ temp $4 = i$ mov -16 (%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -48(%rbp) cmp $$0, -48$ (%rbp) //check if array index temp4 < 0 jl .boundsbad0 mov -48(%rbp), %rax cmp $$20, \%$ rax //check if array index temp4 >= 20 jge .boundsbad0 jmp .boundsgood0 //perform array access .boundsbad0: mov -48(%rbp), %rdx mov \$8, %rcx

call .boundserror

 . ..boundsgood0: $1/t = t + values[i] = temp3 + values[temp4]$

 //array access mov -48(%rbp), %r10 mov values(\sqrt{r} 10, 8), \sqrt{r} ax movq %rax, -48(%rbp)

 $//temp2 = temp3 + temp4$ mov -40 (%rbp), %rax add -48 (%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -32(%rbp)

 $1/t = temp2$ mov -32(%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -8(%rbp)

.BasicBlock11:

 $//temp3 = i$ mov -16 (%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -40(%rbp)

 $//temp4 = 1$ movq \$1, -48(%rbp)

 $//temp2 = temp3 + temp4$ mov -40(%rbp), %rax add -48(%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -32(%rbp)

 $//i = temp2$ mov -32(%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -16(%rbp)

 jmp .BasicBlock2 //jump to beginning of while loop

.BasicBlock12: //return t

> $//temp2 = t$ mov -8(%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -32(%rbp)

 //return temp2 mov -32 (%rbp), %rax leave ret

Allocate space for global variables

Decaf global array declaration int values[20];

Assembler directive (reserve space in data segment) .comm values,160,8 $\mathbf \Phi$ **Name Size Alignment**

The Call Stack

- $-$ %rdi, %rsi, %rdx,
- $-$ %rcx, %r8, and %r9

%rbp

– marks the beginning of the current frame

 $\%$ rsp

– marks top of stack

%rax

– return value

Questions

- Why allocate activation records on a stack?
- Why not statically preallocate activation records?
- Why not dynamically allocate activation records in the heap?

Allocate space for parameters/locals

- Each parameter/local has its own slot on stack
- Each slot accessed via *Y*_orbp negative offset
- Iterate over parameter/local descriptors
- Assign a slot to each parameter/local

Generate procedure entry prologue

- Push base pointer (%rbp) onto stack
- Copy stack pointer $(\%$ rsp) to base pointer $(\%$ rbp)
- Decrease stack pointer by activation record size
- All done by: enter <stack frame size in bytes>, <lexical nesting level> enter \$48, \$0
- For now (will optimize later) move parameters to slots in activation record (top of call stack) movq %rdi, -24(%rbp)
x86 Register Usage

- 64 bit registers (16 of them) %rax, %rbx, %rcx, %rdx, %rdi, %rsi, %rbp, %rsp, $\frac{9}{6}$ r8- $\frac{9}{6}$ r15
- Stack pointer *%*rsp, base pointer *%*rbp
- Parameters
	- First six integer/pointer parameters in %rdi, %rsi, %rdx, %rcx, %r8, %r9
	- Rest passed on the stack
- Return value
	- $-$ 64 bits or less in $\%$ rax
	- Longer return values passed on the stack

Questions

• Why have %rbp if also have %rsp?

- Why not pass all parameters in registers?
- Why not pass all parameters on stack?

- Why not pass return value in register(s) regardless of size?
- Why not pass return value on stack regardless of size?

Callee vs caller save registers

- Registers used to compute values in procedure
- Should registers have same value after procedure as before procedure?
	- Callee save registers (must have same value) %rsp, %rbx, %rbp, %r12-%r15
	- Caller save registers (procedure can change value) %rax, %rcx, %rdx, %rsi, %rdi, %r8-%r11
- Why have both kinds of registers?

Generate procedure call epilogue

- Put return value in *Y*_orax mov -32 (%rbp), %rax
- Undo procedure call
	- Move base pointer (%rbp) to stack pointer (%rsp)
	- Pop base pointer from caller off stack into %rbp
	- Return to caller (return address on stack)
	- All done by
		- leave

Procedure Linkage

Standard procedure linkage

Pre-call:

- •**Save caller-saved registers**
- •**Set up arguments**
	- **Registers (1-6)**
	- **Stack (7-N)**

Prolog:

•**Push old frame pointer** •**Save callee-saved registers** •**Make room for parameters, temporaries, and locals**

Epilog:

- •**Restore callee-saved registers**
- •**Pop old frame pointer**
- •**Store return value**
- **Post-return:**
	- •**Restore caller-saved registers**
	- •**Pop arguments**

Generate code for procedure body Evaluate expressions with a temp for each subexpression $/$ / $i = i + 1$ $//temp3 = i$ mov i from stack, %rax movq %rax, temp3 on stack

 $//temp4 = 1$

mov \$1, temp4 on stack

 $//temp2 = temp3 + temp4$ mov temp3 from stack, $\%$ rax add temp4 on stack, %rax movq $\frac{6}{x}$ ax, temp2 on stack

 $/$ i = temp2

mov temp2 on stack, $\%$ rax movq $\%$ rax, i on stack

Temps stored on stack

%rax as working register

Apply code generation templates $temp = var$ $temp = temp op temp$ $var = temp$

Generate code for procedure body Evaluate expressions with a temp for each subexpression $/$ / $i = i + 1$ $//temp3 = i$ mov -16 (%rbp), %rax movq $\frac{\%}{\tan x}$, -40($\%$ rbp) $//temp4 = 1$ mov $$1, -48$ (%rbp) $//temp2 = temp3 + temp4$ mov -40 (%rbp), %rax add -48 (%rbp), %rax movq $\frac{\%}{\tan x}$, -32($\%$ rbp) Temps stored on stack %rax as working register Apply code generation templates $temp = var$ $temp = temp$ op temp $var = temp$

 $/$ i = temp2 mov -32 (%rbp), %rax movq $\frac{\%}{\tan x}$, -16($\%$ rbp)

Evaluating Expression Trees

Flat List Model

- The idea is to linearize the expression tree
- Left to Right Depth-First Traversal of the expression tree
	- Allocate temporaries for intermediates (all the nodes of the tree)
		- New temporary for each intermediate
		- All the temporaries on the stack (for now)
- Each expression is a single 3-addr op
	- $x = y$ op z
	- Code generation for the 3-addr expression
		- Load y into register $\%$ rax
		- Perform op z, \textdegree rax
		- Store $\frac{6}{x}$ store

Another option Load y into register % rax Load z into register $\frac{6}{10}$ Perform op $\text{\$r10,}\text{\$rax}$ Store *%*rax to x

Issues in Lowering Expressions

- Map intermediates to registers?
	- registers are limited
		- When the tree is large, registers may be insufficient ⇒ allocate space in the stack
- Very inefficient
	- too many copies
	- don't worry, we'll take care of them in the optimization passes
	- keep the code generator very simple

Basic Ideas

- Temps, locals, parameters all have a "home" on stack
- When compute, use *Y*_orax as working storage
- All subexpressions are computed into temps
- For each computation in expression
	- $-$ Fetch first operand (on stack) into $\%$ rax
	- Apply operator to second operand (on stack) and %rax
	- $-$ Result goes back into $\%$ rax
	- Store result (in %rax) back onto stack

Accessing an array element

- //array access temp $1 =$ values[temp0]
- mov array index in temp0, $\frac{6}{10}$
- mov values[array index in %r10], %rax
- movq %rax, temp1

%r10 as array index register %rax as working register

Apply code generation template

Accessing an array element //array access temp $1 =$ values[temp0] mov $-48(^{\circ}\!\!/\mathrm{orbp})$, $\frac{\% \cdot 10}{\mathrm{orbp}}$ mov values(, %r10, 8), %rax movq $\frac{6}{\pi}$ $\frac{48}{\pi}$.

%r10 as array index register %rax as working register

Apply code generation template

Array bounds checks (performed before array access)

check if array index < 0

jl .boundsbad0

check if array index >= array bound

jge .boundsbad0

jmp .boundsgood0 //perform array access

.boundsbad0:

first parameter is array index

second parameter is array element size

call .boundserror

.boundsgood0:

perform array access

Generate code for procedure body Array bounds checks (performed before array access) cmp $$0, -48$ (%rbp) //check if array index temp4 < 0 jl .boundsbad0 mov $-48(^{\circ}\!\!/\mathrm{orbp})$, $\%$ rax cmp $$20, \%$ rax //check if array index temp4 $>= 20$ jge .boundsbad0 jmp .boundsgood0 //perform array access .boundsbad0: mov -48 (%rbp), %rdx mov \$8, %rcx call .boundserror .boundsgood0: //array access to values[temp4] mov $-48(^{\circ}\!\!/\mathrm{orbp})$, $\%r10$ mov values($, \%r10, 8), \%rax$ movq $\%$ rax, -48($\%$ rbp) %rax as working register Apply code generation template

Generate code for procedure body Control Flow via comparisons and jumps //if (condition) { code } else { code } compute condition if condition not true to jump to .FalseCase .TrueCase: // code for true case jmp .EndIf // skip else case .FalseCase: // code for else case .EndIf: // code for after if Code generation template for if then else (conditional branch)

Control Flow via comparisons and jumps

//if (condition) { code } else { code }

compute condition

if condition not true to jump to .ConditionFalse

.ConditionTrue:

set temp=1 (true)

 jmp .CheckCondition //jump to check condition .ConditionFalse:

```
set temp = 0 (false)
```
.CheckCondition:

check if temp is 1 (true) or 0 (false)

if temp is 0 (false) jump to .FalseCase

.TrueCase:

// code for true case

jmp .EndIf // skip else case

.FalseCase:

// code for else case

.EndIf: // continuation after if

Code generation template for if then else (conditional branch) Stores condition explicitly, may be more debuggable

Generate code for procedure body Control Flow via comparisons and jumps

 $//$ if (temp3 < temp4)

mov -48(%rbp), %rax

cmp $\%$ rax, -40($\%$ rbp)

jge .BasicBlock8

.BasicBlock7:

movq $$1, -32$ (%rbp) //temp2 = true jmp .BasicBlock9 //jump to condition

.BasicBlock8:

movq $$0, -32(^{\circ}\!\!/\text{orb})$ //temp2 = false

.BasicBlock9:

cmp $$1, -32$ (%rbp) //if temp2 is true fall through, if false jump to false case

jne .BasicBlock11

.BasicBlock10:

// code for true (then) case

jmp .BasicBlock12 // skip else case

.BasicBlock11:

// code for false (else) case

.BasicBlock12: // continuation after if

%rax as working register Apply code generation template

Code For Conditional Branch in CFG

- Each basic block has a label
- Each conditional branch in CFG has
	- True edge (goes to basic block with label LT)
	- False edge (goes to basic block with label LF)
- Emitted code for CFG tests condition
	- If true, jump to LT
	- If false, jump to LF
- Emit all basic blocks (in some order), jumps link everything together

Quick Peephole Optimization

- Emitted code can look something like: jmp .BasicBlock0 .BasicBlock0:
- In this case can remove jmp instruction

Guidelines for the code generator

- Lower the abstraction level slowly
	- Do many passes, that do few things (or one thing)
	- Easier to break the project down, generate and debug
- Keep the abstraction level consistent
	- IR should have 'correct' semantics at all time
	- At least you should know the semantics
	- You may want to run some of the optimizations between the passes.
- Write sanity checks, consistency checks, use often

Guidelines for the code generator

- Do the simplest but dumb thing
	- it is ok to generate $0 + 1*x + 0*y$
	- Code is painful to look at; let optimizations improve it

- Make sure you know want can be done at...
	- Compile time in the compiler
	- Runtime using generated code

Guidelines for the code generator

- Remember that optimizations will come later
	- Let the optimizer do the optimizations
	- Think about what optimizer will need and structure your code accordingly
	- Example: Register allocation, algebraic simplification, constant propagation
- Setup a good testing infrastructure
	- regression tests
		- If a input program creates a bug, use it as a regression test
	- Learn good bug hunting procedures
		- Example: binary search , delta debugging

Machine Code Generator Should...

- Translate all the instructions in the intermediate representation to assembly language
- Allocate space for the variables, arrays etc.
- Adhere to calling conventions
- Create the necessary symbolic information

Machines understand...

Machines understand...

ASSEMBLY INSTRUCTION

Assembly language

• Advantages

- Simplifies code generation due to use of symbolic instructions and symbolic names
- Logical abstraction layer
- Multiple Architectures can describe by a single assembly language
	- ⇒ can modify the implementation
		- macro assembly instructions
- Disadvantages
	- Additional process of assembling and linking
	- Assembler adds overhead

Assembly language

- Relocatable machine language (object modules)
	- all locations(addresses) represented by symbols
	- Mapped to memory addresses at link and load time
	- Flexibility of separate compilation
- Absolute machine language
	- addresses are hard-coded
	- simple and straightforward implementation
	- inflexible -- hard to reload generated code
	- Used in interrupt handlers and device drivers

Concept of An Object File

- The object file has:
	- Multiple Segments
	- Symbol Information
	- Relocation Information
- Segments
	- Global Offset Table
	- Procedure Linkage Table
	- Text (code)
	- Data
	- Read Only Data
- To run program, OS reads object file, builds executable process in memory, runs process
- We will use assembler to generate object files

Overview of a modern ISA

- Memory
- Registers
- ALU
- Control

From IR to Assembly

- Data Placement and Layout
	- Global variables
	- Constants (strings, numbers)
	- Object fields
	- Parameters, local variables
	- Temporaries
- Code
	- Read and write data
	- Compute
	- Flow of control

Typical Memory Layout

Global Variables

Addresses

Reserve Memory .comm $a,40,4$ ## $@a$.comm $b,16,3$ ## $@b$.comm $g,4,2$ ## ω g Define 3 constants a – address of a in data segment b – address of b in data segment g – address of g in data segment

Struct and Array Layout

- struct $\{$ int x, y; double z; $\}$ b;
	- $-$ Bytes 0-1: x
	- $-$ Bytes 2-3: y
	- Bytes 4-7: z
- int a[10]
	- $-$ Bytes 0-1: $a[0]$
	- $-$ Bytes 2-3: a[1]
	- …
	- Bytes 18-19: a[9]

Dynamic Memory Allocation

typedef struct { int x, y; } PointStruct, *Point; Point $p = \text{malloc}(sizeof(PointStruct));$

What does allocator do? returns next free big enough data block in heap appropriately adjusts heap data structures

Some Heap Data Structures

• Free List (arrows are addresses)

• Powers of Two Lists

Getting More Heap Memory

Scenario: Current heap goes from 0x800 0000 000- 0x810 0000 0000 Need to allocate large block of memory No block that large available

Getting More Heap Memory

Solution: Talk to OS, increase size of heap (sbrk) Allocate block in new heap

The Stack

8*

-8*m-8(%rbp)

- Arguments 0 to 6 are in:
	- $-$ %rdi, %rsi, %rdx,
	- $-$ %rcx, %r8 and %r9

%rbp

– marks the beginning of the current frame

%rsp

– marks the end

%rax

– return value

• Why use a stack? Why not use the heap or preallocated in the data segment?

Procedure Linkages

•**Save caller-saved registers** •**Push arguments Prolog:** •**Push old frame pointer** •**Save callee-saved registers** •**Make room for temporaries Epilog:** •**Restore callee-saved** •**Pop old frame pointer** •**Store return value**

Post-return:

Pre-call:

•**Restore caller-saved**

•**Pop arguments**

• Calling: Caller

- Assume %rcx is live and is caller save
- $-$ Call foo(A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I)
	- A to I are at -8 (%rbp) to -72 (%rbp)

Stack

- Arguments
- Call foo $(A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I)$
	- Passed in by pushing before the call

- Access A to F via registers
	- or put them in local memory
- Access rest using 16+xx(%rbp)

Stack

- Returning Caller
- Assume the return value goes to the first temporary
	- Restore the stack to reclaim the argument space
	- Restore the caller save registers
	- Save the return value

Question:

- Do you need the \$rbp?
- What are the advantages and disadvantages of having \$rbp?

So far we covered..

Outline

- Generation of expressions and statements
- Generation of control flow
- x86-64 Processor
- Guidelines in writing a code generator

Expressions

- Expressions are represented as trees
	- Expression may produce a value
	- Or, it may set the condition codes (boolean exprs)
- How do you map expression trees to the machines?
	- How to arrange the evaluation order?
	- Where to keep the intermediate values?
- Two approaches
	- Stack Model
	- Flat List Model

Evaluating expression trees

- Stack model
	- Eval left-sub-tree Put the results on the stack
	- Eval right-sub-tree Put the results on the stack
	- Get top two values from the stack perform the operation OP put the results on the stack
- Very inefficient!

Evaluating Expression Trees

- Flat List Model
	- The idea is to linearize the expression tree
	- Left to Right Depth-First Traversal of the expression tree
		- Allocate temporaries for intermediates (all the nodes of the tree)
			- New temporary for each intermediate
			- All the temporaries on the stack (for now)
	- Each expression is a single 3-addr op
		- $x = y$ op z
		- Code generation for the 3-addr expression
			- Load y into register %rax
			- Perform op z, %rax
			- $-$ Store % rax to x

Issues in Lowering Expressions

- Map intermediates to registers?
	- registers are limited
		- when the tree is large, registers may be insufficient ⇒ allocate space in the stack
- No machine instruction is available
	- May need to expand the intermediate operation into multiple machine ops.
- Very inefficient
	- too many copies
	- don't worry, we'll take care of them in the optimization passes
	- keep the code generator very simple

What about statements?

- Assignment statements are simple
	- Generate code for RHS expression
	- Store the resulting value to the LHS address

• But what about conditionals and loops?

Outline

- Generation of statements
- Generation of control flow
- Guidelines in writing a code generator

Two Techniques

- Template Matching
- Short-circuit Conditionals

- Both are based on structural induction
	- Generate a representation for the sub-parts
	- Combine them into a representation for the whole

Template for conditionals

if (test) true_body else false_body

> **<do the test> j***oper* **lab_true <false_body> jmp lab_end lab_true: <true_body> lab_end:**

if(ax > bx) $dx = ax - bx;$ **else** $dx = bx - ax;$ **<do test> j***oper* **.L0 <FALSE BODY> jmp .L1 .L0: <TRUE BODY> .L1:**

 $if(ax > bx)$

 $dx = ax - bx;$

else

 $dx = bx - ax;$

<TRUE BODY>

.L1:

if(ax > bx)

 $dx = ax - bx;$

else

 $dx = bx - ax;$

Template for while loops

while (test) body

Template for while loops

lab_cont:

while (test) body

<do the test> j*oper* **lab_body jmp lab_end lab_body: <body> jmp lab_cont lab_end:**

Template for while loops

lab_cont:

while (test)

body

<do the test> j*oper* **lab_body jmp lab_end lab_body: <body> jmp lab_cont**

lab_end:

• An optimized template

lab_cont: <do the test> j*oper* **lab_end <body> jmp lab_cont lab_end:**

Question:

• What is the template for?

do body while (test)

Question:

• What is the template for?

do body while (test)

> **lab_begin: <body> <do test> j***oper* **lab_begin**

Control Flow Graph (CFG)

- Starting point: high level intermediate format, symbol tables
- Target: CFG
	- CFG Nodes are Instruction Nodes
	- CFG Edges Represent Flow of Control
	- Forks At Conditional Jump Instructions
	- Merges When Flow of Control Can Reach A Point Multiple Ways
	- Entry and Exit Nodes

Pattern for if then else

Short-Circuit Conditionals

- In program, conditionals have a condition written as a boolean expression $((i < n) \&& (v[i] != 0)) || i > k)$
- Semantics say should execute only as much as required to determine condition
	- Evaluate (v[i] $!=$ 0) only if (i < n) is true
	- Evaluate $i > k$ only if $((i < n) \& \& (v[i] != 0))$ is false
- Use control-flow graph to represent this shortcircuit evaluation

More Short-Circuit Conditionals

Routines for Destructuring Program Representation

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form

shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates short-circuit form of conditional represented by c if c is true, control flows to t node if c is false, control flows to f node returns b - b is begin node for condition evaluation

new kind of node - nop node

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form seq x y

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form seq x y

1: (b_x,e_x) = destruct(x);

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form seq x y

1: (b_x,e_x) = destruct(x); 2: (b_y,e_y) = destruct(y);

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form seq x y

1: (b_x,e_x) = destruct(x); 2: (b_y,e_y) = destruct(y); 3: $next(e_x) = b_y$; seq x y $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{x}}$ b_y \mathbf{e}_{y}

destruct(n)

seq

x y

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form seq x y

 $b_y -$

 $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{y}}$

1: (b_x,e_x) = destruct(x); 2: (b_y,e_y) = destruct(y);

3: next(e_x) = b_y ; 4: return (b_x e_y);

 $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{x}}$

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y

1: (b_x,e_x) = destruct(x);

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y

1: $(b_x,e_x) =$ destruct(x); 2: $(b_y,e_y) =$ destruct(y);

destruct(n)

- generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y
	- 1: $(b_x,e_x) =$ destruct(x); 2: $(b_y,e_y) =$ destruct(y);

 $3: e = new nop;$

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y

1: $(b_x,e_x) =$ destruct(x); 2: $(b_y,e_y) =$ destruct(y);

3: e = new nop; 4: $next(e_x) = e$; 5: $next(e_y) = e$;

destruct(n)

- generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y
	- 1: (b_x,e_x) = destruct(x); 2: (b_y,e_y) = destruct(y); 3: e = new nop; 4: $next(e_x) = e$; 5: $next(e_y) = e$; 6: b_c = shortcircuit(c, b_x , b_y);

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y

1: $(b_x,e_x) =$ destruct(x); 2: $(b_y,e_y) =$ destruct(y); 3: e = new nop; 4: $next(e_x) = e$; 5: $next(e_y) = e$; 6: $\overline{b_c}$ = shortcircuit(c, $\overline{b_x}$, $\overline{b_y}$); 7: return ($\overline{b_c}$ e);

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form while c x

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form while c x

1: $e = new pop;$

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form while c x

1: $e = new nop$; 2: $(b_x,e_x) = 0$ destruct(x);

e

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form while c x

1: $e = new pop$; 2: $(b_x,e_x) = destruct(x)$;

3: b_c = shortcircuit(c, b_x , e);

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form while c x

1: $e = new pop$; 2: $(b_x,e_x) = destruct(x)$;

3: b_c = shortcircuit(c, b_x , e); 4: next(e_x) = b_c ;

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form while c x

1: $e = new pop$; 2: $(b_x,e_x) = destruct(x)$;

3: b_c = shortcircuit(c, b_x , e); 4: next(e_x) = b_c; 5: return (b_c e);

shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $c_1 \&& c_2$

shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $c_1 \&& c_2$

1: b_2 = shortcircuit(c₂, t, f);

shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form c_1 && c_2

1: b_2 = shortcircuit(c₂, t, f); 2: b_1 = shortcircuit(c₁, b₂, f);

shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $c_1 \& \& c_2$

1: b_2 = shortcircuit(c₂, t, f); 2: b_1 = shortcircuit(c₁, b₂, f); $3:$ return $(b₁)$; $\frac{1}{2}$

shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $c_1 || c_2$

shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $c_1 || c_2$

1: b_2 = shortcircuit(c₂, t, f);

shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $c_1 || c_2$

1: b_2 = shortcircuit(c₂, t, f); 2: b_1 = shortcircuit(c₁, t, b₂);

shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $c_1 || c_2$

1: b_2 = shortcircuit(c₂, t, f); 2: b_1 = shortcircuit(c₁, t, b₂); $3:$ return $(b₁)$;

shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form ! c_1

1: $b = shortcircuit(c₁, f, t); return(b);$

Computed Conditions

shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $e_1 < e_2$

1: $b = new cbr(e₁ < e₂, t, f); 2$: return (b);

Eliminating Nops Via Peephole Optimization

Linearizing CFG to Assembler

- Generate labels for edge targets at branches
	- Labels will correspond to branch targets
	- Can use patterns for this
- Generate code for statements/conditional expressions
- Generate code for procedure entry/exit

Exploring Assembly Patterns

```
struct { int x, y; double z; } b;
int g; 
int a[10];
char *_s = "Test String";
int f(int p) {
  int i; 
  int s; 
 s = 0.0;for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
  s = s + a[i]; } 
  return s; 
}
```

```
• \sec -g - S t.c
• vi t.s
```
Outline

- Generation of statements
- Generation of control flow
- x86-64 Processor
- Guidelines in writing a code generator