

Unoptimized Code Generation

Big Picture

- Starting point AST
- Intermediate point CFG (control flow graph)
- Ending point Generated Assembly Code
- Emphasis on UNOPTIMIZED
- Do simplest possible thing for now
- Will treat optimizations separately



Control Flow Graph

- Nodes Represent Computation
 - Each Node is a Basic Block
 - Basic Block is a Sequence of Instructions with
 - No Branches Out Of Middle of Basic Block
 - No Branches Into Middle of Basic Block
 - Basic Blocks should be maximal
 - Execution of basic block starts with first instruction
 - Includes all instructions in basic block
- Edges Represent Control Flow









Basic Block Construction

- Start with instruction control-flow graph
- Visit all edges in graph
- Merge adjacent nodes if
 - Only one edge from first node
 - Only one edge into second node

$$\begin{array}{c}
s = 0; \\
a = 4; \\
\end{array}$$





























Program Points, Split and Join Points

- One program point before and after each statement in program
- Split point has multiple successors conditional branch statements only split points
- Merge point has multiple predecessors
- Each basic block
 - Either starts with a merge point or its predecessor ends with a split point
 - Either ends with a split point or its successor starts with a merge point

Motivation For Short-Circuit Conditionals

Following program searches array for 0 element

int i = 0; while (i < n && a[i] != 0) { i = i + 1; }

If i < n is false, should you evaluate a[i] != 0?

Short-Circuit Conditionals

- In program, conditionals have a condition written as a boolean expression ((i < n) && (v[i] != 0)) || i > k)
- Semantics say should execute only as much as required to determine condition
 - Evaluate (v[i] != 0) only if (i < n) is true
 - Evaluate i > k only if ((i < n) && (v[i] != 0)) is false
- Use control-flow graph to represent this shortcircuit evaluation



More Short-Circuit Conditionals



Routines for Destructuring Program Representation

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form

shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates short-circuit form of conditional represented by c if c is true, control flows to t node if c is false, control flows to f node returns b - b is begin node for condition evaluation

new kind of node - nop node

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form seq x y



destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form seq x y

1: $(b_x, e_x) = destruct(x);$



destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form seq x y

1: $(b_x, e_x) = destruct(x)$; 2: $(b_y, e_y) = destruct(y)$;



destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form seq x y

1: $(b_x, e_x) = \text{destruct}(x)$; 2: $(b_y, e_y) = \text{destruct}(y)$; 3: $\text{next}(e_x) = b_y$; $x \quad y$ $b_x \quad e_x \rightarrow b_y$ $e_x \rightarrow b_y \quad e_y$

destruct(n)

Х

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form seq x y

b_y

1: $(b_x, e_x) = destruct(x)$; 2: $(b_y, e_y) = destruct(y)$; 3: $next(e_x) = b_y$; 4: return (b_x, e_y) ;

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y



destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y

1: $(b_x, e_x) = destruct(x);$





destruct(n)

- generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y
 - 1: $(b_x, e_x) = destruct(x)$; 2: $(b_y, e_y) = destruct(y)$;





destruct(n)

- generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y
 - 1: $(b_x, e_x) = destruct(x)$; 2: $(b_y, e_y) = destruct(y)$;

3: e = new nop;




Destructuring If Nodes

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y

1: $(b_x,e_x) = destruct(x)$; 2: $(b_y,e_y) = destruct(y)$;

3: e = new nop; 4: $next(e_x) = e;$ 5: $next(e_y) = e;$





Destructuring If Nodes

destruct(n)

- generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y
 - 1: $(b_x, e_x) = destruct(x)$; 2: $(b_y, e_y) = destruct(y)$; 3: e = new nop; 4: $next(e_x) = e$; 5: $next(e_y) = e$; 6: $b_c = shortcircuit(c, b_x, b_y)$;



Destructuring If Nodes

destruct(n)

- generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y
 - 1: $(b_x, e_x) = destruct(x)$; 2: $(b_y, e_y) = destruct(y)$; 3: e = new nop; 4: $next(e_x) = e$; 5: $next(e_y) = e$; 6: $b_c = shortcircuit(c, b_x, b_y)$; 7: $return (b_c, e)$;



destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form while c x



destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form while c x

1: e = new nop;



destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form while c x

1: e = new nop; 2: $(b_x, e_x) = destruct(x);$



e

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form while c x

1: e = new nop; 2: $(b_x, e_x) = destruct(x);$

3: $b_c = shortcircuit(c, b_x, e);$



destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form while c x

1: e = new nop; 2: $(b_x, e_x) = destruct(x);$

3: $b_c = \text{shortcircuit}(c, b_x, e)$; 4: $next(e_x) = b_c$;



destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form while c x

1: e = new nop; 2: $(b_x, e_x) = destruct(x);$

3: $b_c = \text{shortcircuit}(c, b_x, e)$; 4: $next(e_x) = b_c$; 5: return (b_c, e) ;



shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by **c** returns **b** - **b** is begin node of shortcircuit form if **c** is of the form $c_1 \&\& c_2$



shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $c_1 \&\& c_2$

1: $b_2 = shortcircuit(c_2, t, f);$



shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $c_1 \&\& c_2$

1: $b_2 = \text{shortcircuit}(c_2, t, f)$; 2: $b_1 = \text{shortcircuit}(c_1, b_2, f)$;



shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $c_1 \&\& c_2$

1: $b_2 = \text{shortcircuit}(c_2, t, f)$; 2: $b_1 = \text{shortcircuit}(c_1, b_2, f)$; 3: return (b_1); b_1



shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by **c** returns **b** - **b** is begin node of shortcircuit form if **c** is of the form $c_1 || c_2$



shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by **c** returns **b** - **b** is begin node of shortcircuit form if **c** is of the form $c_1 \parallel c_2$

1: $b_2 = shortcircuit(c_2, t, f);$



shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $c_1 \parallel c_2$

1: $b_2 = shortcircuit(c_2, t, f);$ 2: $b_1 = shortcircuit(c_1, t, b_2);$



shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $c_1 \parallel c_2$

1: $b_2 = \text{shortcircuit}(c_2, t, f)$; 2: $b_1 = \text{shortcircuit}(c_1, t, b_2)$; 3: return (b_1); b_1



shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by **c** returns **b** - **b** is begin node of shortcircuit form if **c** is of the form $| c_1$

1: b = shortcircuit(c₁, f, t); return(b);



Computed Conditions

shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $e_1 < e_2$

1: $b = new cbr(e_1 < e_2, t, f)$; 2: return (b);





Eliminating Nops Via Peephole Optimization



Linearizing CFG to Assembler

- Generate labels for edge targets at branches
 - Labels will correspond to branch targets
 - Can use code generation patterns for this
- Emit code for procedure entry
- Emit code for basic blocks
 - Emit code for statements/conditional expressions
 - Appropriately linearized
 - Jump/conditional jumps link basic blocks together
- Emit code for procedure exit

Overview of a modern ISA

- Memory
- Registers
- ALU
- Control



Overview of Computation

- Loads data from memory into registers
- Computes on registers
- Stores new data back into memory
- Flow of control determines what happens
- Role of compiler:
 - Orchestrate register usage
 - Generate low-level code for interfacing with machine

Typical Memory Layout



Concept of An Object File

- The object file has:
 - Multiple Segments
 - Symbol Information
 - Relocation Information
- Segments
 - Global Offset Table
 - Procedure Linkage Table
 - Text (code)
 - Data
 - Read Only Data
- To run program, OS reads object file, builds executable process in memory, runs process
- We will use assembler to generate object files

Basic Compilation Tasks

- Allocate space for global variables (in data segment)
- For each procedure
 - Allocate space for parameters and locals (on stack)
 - Generate code for procedure
 - Generate procedure entry prolog
 - Generate code for procedure body
 - Generate procedure exit epilog



```
int values[20];
int sum(int n) {
 int i, t, temp1, temp2, temp3, temp4;
 temp1 = n;
 temp2 = 1;
 i = temp2;
 temp2 = 0;
 t = temp2;
 temp3 = i;
 temp4 = temp1;
 while (temp3 < temp4) {
   temp3 = i;
   temp4 = 20;
   if (temp3 < temp4) {
    temp3 = t;
    temp4 = i;
    temp4 = values[temp4];
    temp2 = temp3 + temp4;
    t = temp2;
   temp3 = i;
   temp4 = 1;
   temp2 = temp3 + temp4;
   i = temp2;
 temp2 = t;
 return temp2;
```

Allocate for 1, 1, templ, temp

= 540,50

away Sill, All Young Sill, All You

10-0

veg 58,-56(hdp)

/i=nomp2=1 movq_\$1,-32(%dp)

-3Dridgy Nam.

de mang2e≉ nome - 66 -275-dat der somet må

move hires, -8(%/bp) //ked%

/li<a

/http://www.internet.com/internet.com/internet.com/internet.com/internet.com/internet.com/internet.com/internet

mavq hiss, -40(hisbp)

and they read to be

tampi < sampi

cep Next, 40(%bp)

jer BockBick4

mavq \$1,-32(%hp) /hmp2=

p RadeBlock Sjump to condition

haidlicki

eed and contraction to a second se

cmp \$1,-32(%tp) //if simp2 is the continue, false ju

pa MarcHock12

/1 < 20

may (16(%rbp), %rax

away Scace-MySaday)

//ump4 = 20

mev -65(Nebp), Neax

ap Yaax, Hahdap)

No. Barrow

an (L. 2004) Jonal rus

iinda a

cmp \$1,-32(%idp) //if smp2 is the So

Rocffict11

/hereal a r

av - 40dg Sax

.

move max, -memory)

ap 50, HdP/hdp). Itaback if anny index suspit < 0

mov -48(hirbp), hirax

nap (and a second and and and a second and a s

hound-had?

Nov - Anglundig), Malik mari - Sh Anon

heardspeed):

(t = t + value(t) = susp3 + value(temp4)

may -68(%ebp), %e00

/temp2 = temp3 + temp4

we with the second s

need annot contained.

Sense Sen

mand Jean -elandeb

alkan:

/hexp3 = 1

v - Heffshigh, Nasa

demonstra 1

/hump2 = tump3 + tump4

New York

the second

Hanchenetz - (jum) to togrammy of what scop

/henues t

ov -d(%idp), %iax

sma2

lane

comm values,160,8

um: //allocate for t, i, temp1, temp2, temp3, temp4 enter \$48, \$0 movq %rdi, -24(%rbp)

//t=0 movq \$0, -8(%rbp)

//i=0 movq \$0, -16(%rbp)

//i = temp2 = 1
movq \$1, -32(%rbp)
mov -32(%rbp), %rax
movq %rax, -16(%rbp)

//t = temp2 = 0 movq \$0, -32(%rbp) //set temp2 to 0 mov -32(%rbp), %rax //store temp2 in %rax movq %rax, -8(%rbp) //load %rax to t

.BasicBlock2:

//i < n

//temp3 = i
mov -16(%rbp), %rax
movq %rax, -40(%rbp)

//temp4 = temp1
mov -24(%rbp), %rax
movq %rax, -48(%rbp)

//temp3 < temp4
mov -48(%rbp), %rax
cmp %rax, -40(%rbp)
jge .BasicBlock4</pre>

BasicBlock3: movq \$1, -32(%rbp) //temp2 = true jmp .BasicBlock5 //jump to condition

.BasicBlock4: movq \$0, -32(%rbp) //temp2 = false

.BasicBlock5: cmp \$1,-32(%rbp) //if temp2 is true continue, false jump to return jne .BasicBlock12 BasicBlock6: //i < 20

//temp3 = i mov -16(%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -40(%rbp)

//temp4 = 20 movq \$20, -48(%rbp)

//temp3 < temp4 mov -48(%rbp), %rax cmp %rax, -40(%rbp) jge .BasicBlock8

.BasicBlock7: movq \$1, -32(%rbp) //temp2 = true jmp .BasicBlock9 //jump to condition

.BasicBlock8: movq \$0, -32(%rbp) //temp2 = false .BasicBlock9: cmp \$1, -32(%rbp) //if temp2 is true fo in block, false skip jne .BasicBlock11

BasicBlock10: //temp3 = t mov -8(%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -40(%rbp)

//temp4 = i
mov -16(%rbp), %rax
movq %rax, -48(%rbp)

cmp \$0, -48(%rbp) //check if array index temp4 < 0 j1 .boundsbad0 mov -48(%rbp), %rax cmp \$20, %rax //check if array index temp4 >= 20 jge .boundsbad0 jmp .boundsgood0 //perform array access .boundsbad0: mov -48(%rbp), %rdx mov \$8, %rcx call .boundserror ...boundsgood0: //t = t + values[i] = temp3 + values[temp4]

//array access mov -48(%rbp), %r10 mov values(, %r10, 8), %rax movq %rax, -48(%rbp)

//temp2 = temp3 + temp4
mov -40(%rbp), %rax
add -48(%rbp), %rax
movq %rax, -32(%rbp)

//t = temp2 mov -32(%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -8(%rbp)

.BasicBlock11: //i = i + 1

//temp3 = i
mov -16(%rbp), %rax
movq %rax, -40(%rbp)

//temp4 = 1 movq \$1, -48(%rbp)

//temp2 = temp3 + temp4
mov -40(%rbp), %rax
add -48(%rbp), %rax
movq %rax, -32(%rbp)

//i = temp2 mov -32(%rbp), %rax movq %rax, -16(%rbp)

jmp .BasicBlock2 //jump to beginning of while loop

.BasicBlock12: //return t

//temp2 = t
mov -8(%rbp), %rax
movq %rax, -32(%rbp)

//return temp2 mov -32(%rbp), %rax leave ret

Allocate space for global variables

Decaf global array declaration int values[20];

Assembler directive (reserve space in data segment) .comm values,160,8 / ^ ^ A Name Size Alignment

The Call Stack

- Arguments 1 to 6 are in:
 - %rdi, %rsi, %rdx,
 - %rcx, %r8, and %r9

%rbp

 marks the beginning of the current frame

%rsp

marks top of stack

%rax

return value

argument n	jou
	Lev
argument 7	۵.
Return address	
Previous %rbp	Ţ
parameter 1	ILE
parameter n	
local 1	
local m	
Variable size	
	argument n argument 7 Return address Previous %rbp parameter 1 parameter n local 1 local m

CO

Questions

- Why allocate activation records on a stack?
- Why not statically preallocate activation records?
- Why not dynamically allocate activation records in the heap?

Allocate space for parameters/locals

- Each parameter/local has its own slot on stack
- Each slot accessed via %rbp negative offset
- Iterate over parameter/local descriptors
- Assign a slot to each parameter/local

Generate procedure entry prologue

- Push base pointer (%rbp) onto stack
- Copy stack pointer (%rsp) to base pointer (%rbp)
- Decrease stack pointer by activation record size
- All done by: enter <stack frame size in bytes>, <lexical nesting level> enter \$48, \$0
- For now (will optimize later) move parameters to slots in activation record (top of call stack) movq %rdi, -24(%rbp)
x86 Register Usage

- 64 bit registers (16 of them)
 %rax, %rbx, %rcx, %rdx, %rdi, %rsi, %rbp, %rsp,
 %r8-%r15
- Stack pointer %rsp, base pointer %rbp
- Parameters
 - First six integer/pointer parameters in %rdi, %rsi, %rdx, %rcx, %r8, %r9
 - Rest passed on the stack
- Return value
 - 64 bits or less in %rax
 - Longer return values passed on the stack

Questions

• Why have %rbp if also have %rsp?

- Why not pass all parameters in registers?
- Why not pass all parameters on stack?

- Why not pass return value in register(s) regardless of size?
- Why not pass return value on stack regardless of size?

Callee vs caller save registers

- Registers used to compute values in procedure
- Should registers have same value after procedure as before procedure?
 - Callee save registers (must have same value)
 %rsp, %rbx, %rbp, %r12-%r15
 - Caller save registers (procedure can change value)
 %rax, %rcx, %rdx, %rsi, %rdi, %r8-%r11
- Why have both kinds of registers?

Generate procedure call epilogue

- Put return value in %rax mov -32(%rbp), %rax
- Undo procedure call
 - Move base pointer (%rbp) to stack pointer (%rsp)
 - Pop base pointer from caller off stack into %rbp
 - Return to caller (return address on stack)
 - All done by
 - leave

Procedure Linkage

Standard procedure linkage



Pre-call:

- •Save caller-saved registers
- •Set up arguments
 - Registers (1-6)
 - Stack (7-N)

Prolog:

Push old frame pointer
Save callee-saved registers
Make room for parameters, temporaries, and locals

Epilog:

- •Restore callee-saved registers
- •Pop old frame pointer
- Store return value
- Post-return:
 - •Restore caller-saved registers
 - •Pop arguments

Evaluate expressions with a temp for each subexpression

//i = i + 1
//temp3 = i
mov i from stack, %rax
movq %rax, temp3 on stack

//temp4 = 1
mov \$1, temp4 on stack

//temp2 = temp3 + temp4
mov temp3 from stack, %rax
add temp4 on stack, %rax
movq %rax, temp2 on stack

//i = temp2

movtemp2 on stack, %raxmovq%rax, i on stack

Temps stored on stack

%rax as working register

Apply code generation templates temp = var temp = temp op temp var = temp

Evaluate expressions with a temp for each subexpression

//i = i + 1
//temp3 = i
mov -16(%rbp), %rax
movq %rax, -40(%rbp)

//temp4 = 1
mov \$1, -48(%rbp)

//temp2 = temp3 + temp4
mov -40(%rbp), %rax
add -48(%rbp), %rax
movq %rax, -32(%rbp)

//i = temp2
mov -32(%rbp), %rax
movq %rax, -16(%rbp)

Temps stored on stack

%rax as working register

Apply code generation templates temp = var temp = temp op temp var = temp

Evaluating Expression Trees

Flat List Model

- The idea is to linearize the expression tree
- Left to Right Depth-First Traversal of the expression tree
 - Allocate temporaries for intermediates (all the nodes of the tree)
 - New temporary for each intermediate
 - All the temporaries on the stack (for now)
- Each expression is a single 3-addr op
 - -x = y op z
 - Code generation for the 3-addr expression
 - Load y into register %rax
 - Perform op z, %rax
 - Store %rax to x

Another option Load y into register %rax Load z into register %r10 Perform op %r10, %rax Store %rax to x

Issues in Lowering Expressions

- Map intermediates to registers?
 - registers are limited
 - When the tree is large, registers may be insufficient ⇒ allocate space in the stack
- Very inefficient
 - too many copies
 - don't worry, we'll take care of them in the optimization passes
 - keep the code generator very simple

Basic Ideas

- Temps, locals, parameters all have a "home" on stack
- When compute, use %rax as working storage
- All subexpressions are computed into temps
- For each computation in expression
 - Fetch first operand (on stack) into %rax
 - Apply operator to second operand (on stack) and % rax
 - Result goes back into %rax
 - Store result (in %rax) back onto stack

Accessing an array element

- //array access temp1 = values[temp0]
- mov array index in temp0, %r10
- mov values[array index in %r10], %rax
- movq %rax, temp1

%r10 as array index register %rax as working register

Apply code generation template

Accessing an array element //array access temp1 = values[temp0] mov -48(%rbp), %r10 mov values(, %r10, 8), %rax movq %rax, -48(%rbp)

%r10 as array index register %rax as working register

Apply code generation template

Array bounds checks (performed before array access)

check if array index < 0

jl .boundsbad0

check if array index >= array bound

jge .boundsbad0

jmp .boundsgood0 //perform array access

.boundsbad0:

first parameter is array index

second parameter is array element size

call .boundserror

.boundsgood0:

perform array access

Array bounds checks (performed before array access)

- cmp 0, -48(% rbp) //check if array index temp4 < 0
- jl .boundsbad0
- mov -48(%rbp), %rax
- cmp \$20, %rax //check if array index temp4 >= 20
- jge .boundsbad0
- jmp .boundsgood0 //perform array access

.boundsbad0:

- mov -48(%rbp), %rdx
- mov \$8, %rcx
- call .boundserror

.boundsgood0: //array access to values[temp4]

- mov -48(%rbp), %r10
- mov values(, %r10, 8), %rax
- movq %rax, -48(%rbp)

%rax as working register Apply code generation template

Generate code for procedure body Control Flow via comparisons and jumps //if (condition) { code } else { code } compute condition if condition not true to jump to .FalseCase .TrueCase: // code for true case jmp .EndIf // skip else case Code generation template for .FalseCase: if then else (conditional branch) // code for else case .EndIf: // code for after if

Control Flow via comparisons and jumps

//if (condition) { code } else { code }

compute condition

if condition not true to jump to .ConditionFalse

.ConditionTrue:

set temp=1 (true)

jmp .CheckCondition //jump to check condition

.ConditionFalse:

```
set temp = 0 (false)
```

.CheckCondition:

check if temp is 1 (true) or 0 (false)

if temp is 0 (false) jump to .FalseCase

.TrueCase:

// code for true case

jmp .EndIf // skip else case

.FalseCase:

// code for else case

.EndIf: // continuation after if

Code generation template for if then else (conditional branch) Stores condition explicitly, may be more debuggable

Generate code for procedure body Control Flow via comparisons and jumps

//if (temp3 < temp4)

mov -48(%rbp), %rax

cmp %rax, -40(%rbp)

jge .BasicBlock8

.BasicBlock7:

movq \$1, -32(%rbp) //temp2 = true

jmp .BasicBlock9 //jump to condition

.BasicBlock8:

movq \$0, -32(%rbp) //temp2 = false

.BasicBlock9:

cmp \$1, -32(%rbp) //if temp2 is true fall through, if false jump to false case

jne .BasicBlock11

.BasicBlock10:

// code for true (then) case

jmp .BasicBlock12 // skip else case

.BasicBlock11:

// code for false (else) case

.BasicBlock12: // continuation after if

%rax as working register Apply code generation template

Code For Conditional Branch in CFG

- Each basic block has a label
- Each conditional branch in CFG has
 - True edge (goes to basic block with label LT)
 - False edge (goes to basic block with label LF)
- Emitted code for CFG tests condition
 - If true, jump to LT
 - If false, jump to LF
- Emit all basic blocks (in some order), jumps link everything together

Quick Peephole Optimization

- Emitted code can look something like: jmp .BasicBlock0
 .BasicBlock0:
- In this case can remove jmp instruction

Guidelines for the code generator

- Lower the abstraction level slowly
 - Do many passes, that do few things (or one thing)
 - Easier to break the project down, generate and debug
- Keep the abstraction level consistent
 - IR should have 'correct' semantics at all time
 - At least you should know the semantics
 - You may want to run some of the optimizations between the passes.
- Write sanity checks, consistency checks, use often

Guidelines for the code generator

- Do the simplest but dumb thing
 - it is ok to generate 0 + 1*x + 0*y
 - Code is painful to look at; let optimizations improve it

- Make sure you know want can be done at...
 Compile time in the compiler
 - Runtime using generated code

Guidelines for the code generator

- Remember that optimizations will come later
 - Let the optimizer do the optimizations
 - Think about what optimizer will need and structure your code accordingly
 - Example: Register allocation, algebraic simplification, constant propagation
- Setup a good testing infrastructure
 - regression tests
 - If a input program creates a bug, use it as a regression test
 - Learn good bug hunting procedures
 - Example: binary search , delta debugging

Machine Code Generator Should...

- Translate all the instructions in the intermediate representation to assembly language
- Allocate space for the variables, arrays etc.
- Adhere to calling conventions
- Create the necessary symbolic information

Machines understand...

OCATION	DATA
0046	8B45FC
0049	4863F0
004c	8B45FC
004f	4863D0
0052	8B45FC
0055	4898
0057	8B048500
	000000
005e	8B149500
	000000
0065	01C2
0067	8B45FC
006a	4898
006c	89D7
006e	033C8500
	000000
0075	8B45FC
0078	4863C8
007ь	8B45F8
007e	4898
0080	8B148500

Machines understand...

OCATION	DATA
0046	8B45FC
0049	4863F0
004c	8B45FC
004f	4863D0
0052	8B45FC
0055	4898
0057	8B048500
	000000
005e	8B149500
	000000
0065	01C2
0067	8B45FC
006a	4898
006c	89D7
006e	033C8500
	000000
0075	8B45FC
0078	4863C8
007b	8B45F8
007e	4898
080	8B148500

ASSEMBLY INSTRUCTION

movl	-4(%rbp), %eax
movslq	%eax,%rsi
movl	-4(%rbp), %eax
movslq	%eax,%rdx
movl	-4(%rbp), %eax
cltq	
movl	B(,%rax,4), %eax
movl	A(,\$rdx,4), \$edx
addl	%eax, %edx
movl	-4(%rbp), %eax
cltq	
movl	%edx, %edi
addl	C(,%rax,4), %edi
movl	-4(%rbp), %eax
movslq	<pre>%eax,%rcx</pre>
movl	-8(%rbp), %eax
cltq	
movl	B(,%rax,4), %edx

Assembly language

Advantages

- Simplifies code generation due to use of symbolic instructions and symbolic names
- Logical abstraction layer
- Multiple Architectures can describe by a single assembly language
 - \Rightarrow can modify the implementation
 - macro assembly instructions
- Disadvantages
 - Additional process of assembling and linking
 - Assembler adds overhead

Assembly language

- Relocatable machine language (object modules)
 - all locations(addresses) represented by symbols
 - Mapped to memory addresses at link and load time
 - Flexibility of separate compilation
- Absolute machine language
 - addresses are hard-coded
 - simple and straightforward implementation
 - inflexible -- hard to reload generated code
 - Used in interrupt handlers and device drivers

Concept of An Object File

- The object file has:
 - Multiple Segments
 - Symbol Information
 - Relocation Information
- Segments
 - Global Offset Table
 - Procedure Linkage Table
 - Text (code)
 - Data
 - Read Only Data
- To run program, OS reads object file, builds executable process in memory, runs process
- We will use assembler to generate object files

Overview of a modern ISA

- Memory
- Registers
- ALU
- Control



From IR to Assembly

- Data Placement and Layout
 - Global variables
 - Constants (strings, numbers)
 - Object fields
 - Parameters, local variables
 - Temporaries
- Code
 - Read and write data
 - Compute
 - Flow of control



Typical Memory Layout



Global Variables



Addresses

Reserve Memory

.comm _a,40,4 ## @a .comm _b,16,3 ## @b .comm _g,4,2 ## @g

Define 3 constants

_a – address of a in data segment
_b – address of b in data segment
_g – address of g in data segment

Struct and Array Layout

- struct { int x, y; double z; } b;
 - Bytes 0-1: x
 - Bytes 2-3: y
 - Bytes 4-7: z
- int a[10]
 - Bytes 0-1: a[0]
 - Bytes 2-3: a[1]
 - ...
 - Bytes 18-19: a[9]

Dynamic Memory Allocation

typedef struct { int x, y; } PointStruct, *Point; Point p = malloc(sizeof(PointStruct));

What does allocator do? returns next free big enough data block in heap appropriately adjusts heap data structures

Some Heap Data Structures

• Free List (arrows are addresses)



• Powers of Two Lists


Getting More Heap Memory

Scenario: Current heap goes from 0x800 0000 000- 0x810 0000 0000 Need to allocate large block of memory No block that large available



Getting More Heap Memory

Solution: Talk to OS, increase size of heap (sbrk) Allocate block in new heap



The Stack

8*

-8

- Arguments 0 to 6 are in:
 - %rdi, %rsi, %rdx,
 - %rcx, %r8 and %r9

%rbp

 marks the beginning of the current frame

%rsp

– marks the end

%rax

return value

n+16(%rbp)	argument n	/iou
16(%rbp)	 argument 7	Pre
8 (%rbp)	Return address	
0(%rbp)	Previous %rbp	ļ
-8(%rbp)	local 0	rrer
*m-8(%rbp)	 local m	CU
0(%rsp)	Variable size	



• Why use a stack? Why not use the heap or preallocated in the data segment?

Procedure Linkages



Pre-call:

Save caller-saved registers
Push arguments

Prolog:

Push old frame pointer
Save callee-saved registers
Make room for temporaries

Epilog:

Restore callee-saved

•Pop old frame pointer

Store return value

Post-return:

•Restore caller-saved

Pop arguments



• Calling: Caller

- Assume %rcx is live and is caller save
- Call foo(A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I)
 - A to I are at -8(%rbp) to -72(%rbp)

push	%rcx
push	-72(%rbp)
push	-64(%rbp)
push	-56(%rbp)
mov	-48(%rbp), %r9
mov	-40(%rbp), %r8
mov	-32(%rbp), %rc
mov	-24(%rbp), %rd
mov	-16(%rbp), %rs
mov	-8(%rbp), %rdi
call	foo

Х

	\mathbf{C}	return address previous frame pointer	rbp
Stack		calliee saved registers	*
alling: Callee		local variables	
- Assume %rbx is	s used in the function	stack temporaries	
and is callee say	/e	dynamic area	
- Assume 40 bytes are required for locals		caller saved registers	
00:		argument 9 argument 8 argument 7	
push	%rbp	return address	— rsp
mov enter	%rsp, %rbp \$ \$48,50 \$\$6, 8 ,50	previous frame pointer calliee saved registers	
MOV	%rbx, -8(%rbp)	local variables	
		stack temporaries	
		dynamic area	

•

f

Stack

- Arguments
- Call foo(A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I)
 - Passed in by pushing before the call

push	-72(%rbp)
push	-64(%rbp)
push	-56(%rbp)
mov	-48(%rbp), %r9
mov	-40(%rbp), %r8
mov	-32(%rbp), %rcz
mov	-24(%rbp), %rdz
mov	-16(%rbp), %rsi
mov	-8(%rbp), %rdi
call	foo

- Access A to F via registers
 - or put them in local memory
- Access rest using 16+xx(%rbp)

mov	16(%rbp),	%rax
mov	24(%rbp),	%r10

return address	
previous frame pointer	
calliee saved	
registers	
local variables	
stack temporaries	
dynamic area	
caller saved registers	
argument 9	
argument 8	
argument 7	
return address	
previous frame pointer	← rbp
calliee saved	
registers	
local variables	
stack temporaries	rsn
dynamic area	rsp

	Stack	return address previous frame pointer calliee saved registers	
Locals and T	emporaries	local variables	
Colouloto th	o size and	stack temporaries	
allocate spa	ce on the stack	dynamic area	
sub	\$48, %rsp	caller saved registers	
or enter	\$48, 0	argument 9 argument 8 argument 7	
		return address	
- Access usin	g-8-xx(%rbp) -28(%rbp), %r10	previous frame pointer calliee saved registers	←_rbp
mov	%r11, -20(%rbp)	local variables	
		stack temporaries	rsn
		dynamic area	sp

Stack	return address previous frame pointer callee saved registers	
Returning Callee	local variables	
 Assume the return value is the first temporary 	w stack temporaries	
	dynamic area	
 Restore the caller saved register 	caller saved registers	
 Put the return value in %rax Tear-down the call stack 	argument 9 argument 8 argument 7	
	return address	
mov -8(%rbp), %rbx mov -16(%rbp), %rax	previous frame pointer callee saved registers	←_rbp
mov leavêp, %rsp	local variables	
pop %rbp	stack temporaries	1010
ret	dynamic area	

Stack

- Returning Caller
- Assume the return value goes to the first temporary
 - Restore the stack to reclaim the argument space
 - Restore the caller save registers
 - Save the return value

return address	le
previous frame pointer	← ro]
callee saved	
registers	
local variables	
stack temporaries	
dynamic area	
caller saved registers	
argument 9	
argument 8	
argument 7	rs

call	foo
add	\$24, %rsp
рор	Srcx
mov	%rax, 8(%rbp)

Question:

- Do you need the \$rbp?
- What are the advantages and disadvantages of having \$rbp?

So far we covered..



Outline

- Generation of expressions and statements
- Generation of control flow
- x86-64 Processor
- Guidelines in writing a code generator

Expressions

- Expressions are represented as trees
 - Expression may produce a value
 - Or, it may set the condition codes (boolean exprs)
- How do you map expression trees to the machines?
 - How to arrange the evaluation order?
 - Where to keep the intermediate values?
- Two approaches
 - Stack Model
 - Flat List Model

Evaluating expression trees

- Stack model
 - Eval left-sub-treePut the results on the stack
 - Eval right-sub-tree
 Put the results on the stack
 - Get top two values from the stack perform the operation OP put the results on the stack
- Very inefficient!



Evaluating Expression Trees

• Flat List Model

- The idea is to linearize the expression tree
- Left to Right Depth-First Traversal of the expression tree
 - Allocate temporaries for intermediates (all the nodes of the tree)
 - New temporary for each intermediate
 - All the temporaries on the stack (for now)
- Each expression is a single 3-addr op
 - x = y op z
 - Code generation for the 3-addr expression
 - Load y into register %rax
 - Perform op z, %rax
 - Store %rax to x

Issues in Lowering Expressions

- Map intermediates to registers?
 - registers are limited
 - when the tree is large, registers may be insufficient ⇒ allocate space in the stack
- No machine instruction is available
 - May need to expand the intermediate operation into multiple machine ops.
- Very inefficient
 - too many copies
 - don't worry, we'll take care of them in the optimization passes
 - keep the code generator very simple

What about statements?

- Assignment statements are simple
 - Generate code for RHS expression
 - Store the resulting value to the LHS address

• But what about conditionals and loops?

Outline

- Generation of statements
- Generation of control flow
- Guidelines in writing a code generator

Two Techniques

- Template Matching
- Short-circuit Conditionals

- Both are based on structural induction
 - Generate a representation for the sub-parts
 - Combine them into a representation for the whole

Template for conditionals

if (test)
 true_body
else
 false_body

<do the test>
 joper lab_true
 <false_body>
 jmp lab_end
lab_true:
 <true_body>
lab end:

if(ax > bx)dx = ax - bx;else $d\mathbf{x} = b\mathbf{x} - a\mathbf{x};$ <do test> joper .L0 <FALSE BODY> .L1 jmp .L0: <TRUE BODY> .L1:



	if(ax	> bx)
		dx = ax - bx;
	else	
		dx = bx - ax;
	movq	16(%rbp), %r10
	movq	24 (%rbp), %r11
	cmpq	%r10, %r11
	jg	. L0
	<false< td=""><td>BODY></td></false<>	BODY>
	jmp	.11
.L0:		
	<true< td=""><td>BODY></td></true<>	BODY>
<u> </u>		
· Lu L :		



if(ax > bx)

dx = ax - bx;

else

.L1:

dx = bx - ax;

	movq	16(%rbp), %r10
	movq	24(%rbp), %r11
	cmpq	%r10, %r11
	jg	.LO
	movq	24(%rbp), %r10
	movq	16(%rbp), %r11
	subq	%r10, %r11
	movq	%r11, -8(%rbp)
	jmp	.L1
.L0:		
	TRUE B	



if(ax > bx)

dx = ax - bx;

else

dx = bx - ax;

movq	16(%rbp) , %r10
movq	24(%rbp), %r11
cmpq	%r10, %r11
jg	.LO
movq	24(%rbp), %r10
movq	16(%rbp), %r11
subq	%r10, %r11
movq	%r11, -8(%rbp)
jmp	.L1
.L0:	
movq	16(%rbp), %r10
movq	24(%rbp), %r11
subq	%r10, %r11
movq	%r11, -8(%rbp)
.L1:	



Template for while loops

while (test) body

Template for while loops

lab cont:

while (test) body <do the test>
 joper lab_body
 jmp lab_end
lab_body:
 <body>
 jmp lab_cont
lab_end:

Template for while loops

lab_cont:

while (test) body <do the test>
 joper lab_body
 jmp lab_end
lab_body:
 <body>
 jmp lab_cont
lab end:

• An optimized template

CODE	DATA
Control Flow	Global Static Variables
Procedures	Global Dynamic Data
	Local Variables
Statements	Temporaries
	Parameter Passing
Data Access	Read-only Data

lab_cont: <do the test> joper lab_end <body> jmp lab_cont lab_end:

Question:

• What is the template for?

do body while (test)

Question:

• What is the template for?

do body while (test)

Control Flow Graph (CFG)

- Starting point: high level intermediate format, symbol tables
- Target: CFG
 - CFG Nodes are Instruction Nodes
 - CFG Edges Represent Flow of Control
 - Forks At Conditional Jump Instructions
 - Merges When Flow of Control Can Reach A Point Multiple Ways
 - Entry and Exit Nodes



Pattern for if then else

Short-Circuit Conditionals

- In program, conditionals have a condition written as a boolean expression ((i < n) && (v[i] != 0)) || i > k)
- Semantics say should execute only as much as required to determine condition
 - Evaluate (v[i] != 0) only if (i < n) is true
 - Evaluate i > k only if ((i < n) && (v[i] != 0)) is false
- Use control-flow graph to represent this shortcircuit evaluation



More Short-Circuit Conditionals


Routines for Destructuring Program Representation

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form

shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates short-circuit form of conditional represented by c if c is true, control flows to t node if c is false, control flows to f node returns b - b is begin node for condition evaluation

new kind of node - nop node

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form seq x y



destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form seq x y

1: $(b_x, e_x) = destruct(x);$



destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form seq x y

1: $(b_x, e_x) = destruct(x)$; 2: $(b_y, e_y) = destruct(y)$;



destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form seq x y

1: $(b_x, e_x) = \text{destruct}(x)$; 2: $(b_y, e_y) = \text{destruct}(y)$; 3: $\text{next}(e_x) = b_y$; $x \quad y$ $b_x \quad e_x \rightarrow b_y$ $e_x \rightarrow b_y \quad e_y$

destruct(n)

Х

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form seq x y

b_y

1: $(b_x, e_x) = destruct(x)$; 2: $(b_y, e_y) = destruct(y)$; 3: $next(e_x) = b_y$; 4: return (b_x, e_y) ;

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y



destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y

1: $(b_x, e_x) = destruct(x);$





destruct(n)

- generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y
 - 1: $(b_x, e_x) = destruct(x)$; 2: $(b_y, e_y) = destruct(y)$;





destruct(n)

- generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y
 - 1: $(b_x, e_x) = destruct(x)$; 2: $(b_y, e_y) = destruct(y)$;

3: e = new nop;





destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y

1: $(b_x,e_x) = destruct(x)$; 2: $(b_y,e_y) = destruct(y)$;

3: e = new nop; 4: $next(e_x) = e;$ 5: $next(e_y) = e;$





destruct(n)

- generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y
 - 1: $(b_x, e_x) = destruct(x)$; 2: $(b_y, e_y) = destruct(y)$; 3: e = new nop; 4: $next(e_x) = e$; 5: $next(e_y) = e$; 6: $b_c = shortcircuit(c, b_x, b_y)$;



destruct(n)

- generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form if c x y
 - 1: $(b_x, e_x) = destruct(x)$; 2: $(b_y, e_y) = destruct(y)$; 3: e = new nop; 4: $next(e_x) = e$; 5: $next(e_y) = e$; 6: $b_c = shortcircuit(c, b_x, b_y)$; 7: $return (b_c, e)$;



destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form while c x



destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form while c x

1: e = new nop;



destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form while c x

1: e = new nop; 2: $(b_x, e_x) = destruct(x);$



e

destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form while c x

1: e = new nop; 2: $(b_x, e_x) = destruct(x);$

3: $b_c = shortcircuit(c, b_x, e);$



destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form while c x

1: e = new nop; 2: $(b_x, e_x) = destruct(x);$

3: $b_c = \text{shortcircuit}(c, b_x, e)$; 4: $next(e_x) = b_c$;



destruct(n)

generates lowered form of structured code represented by n returns (b,e) - b is begin node, e is end node in destructed form if n is of the form while c x

1: e = new nop; 2: $(b_x, e_x) = destruct(x);$

3: $b_c = \text{shortcircuit}(c, b_x, e)$; 4: $next(e_x) = b_c$; 5: return (b_c, e) ;



shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by **c** returns **b** - **b** is begin node of shortcircuit form if **c** is of the form $c_1 \&\& c_2$



shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $c_1 \&\& c_2$

1: $b_2 = shortcircuit(c_2, t, f);$



shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $c_1 \&\& c_2$

1: $b_2 = \text{shortcircuit}(c_2, t, f)$; 2: $b_1 = \text{shortcircuit}(c_1, b_2, f)$;



shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $c_1 \&\& c_2$

1: $b_2 = \text{shortcircuit}(c_2, t, f)$; 2: $b_1 = \text{shortcircuit}(c_1, b_2, f)$; 3: return (b_1); b_1



shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by **c** returns **b** - **b** is begin node of shortcircuit form if **c** is of the form $c_1 || c_2$



shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by **c** returns **b** - **b** is begin node of shortcircuit form if **c** is of the form $c_1 \parallel c_2$

1: $b_2 = shortcircuit(c_2, t, f);$



shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $c_1 \parallel c_2$

1: $b_2 = shortcircuit(c_2, t, f);$ 2: $b_1 = shortcircuit(c_1, t, b_2);$



shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $c_1 \parallel c_2$

1: $b_2 = \text{shortcircuit}(c_2, t, f)$; 2: $b_1 = \text{shortcircuit}(c_1, t, b_2)$; 3: return (b_1); b_1



shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by **c** returns **b** - **b** is begin node of shortcircuit form if **c** is of the form $| c_1$

1: b = shortcircuit(c₁, f, t); return(b);



Computed Conditions

shortcircuit(c, t, f)

generates shortcircuit form of conditional represented by c returns b - b is begin node of shortcircuit form if c is of the form $e_1 < e_2$

1: $b = new cbr(e_1 < e_2, t, f)$; 2: return (b);





Eliminating Nops Via Peephole Optimization



Linearizing CFG to Assembler

- Generate labels for edge targets at branches
 - Labels will correspond to branch targets
 - Can use patterns for this
- Generate code for statements/conditional expressions
- Generate code for procedure entry/exit

Exploring Assembly Patterns

```
struct { int x, y; double z; } b;
int g;
int a[10];
char *s = "Test String";
int f(int p) {
 int i;
 int s;
 s = 0.0;
 for (i = 0; i < 10; i++)
  s = s + a[i];
 }
 return s;
```

```
gcc -g -S t.c
vi t.s
```

Outline

- Generation of statements
- Generation of control flow
- x86-64 Processor
- Guidelines in writing a code generator